JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY © 2024 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# Impact of Aficamten on Echocardiographic Cardiac Structure and Function in Symptomatic Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Sheila M. Hegde, MD, MPH,<sup>a</sup> Brian L. Claggett, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Xiaowen Wang, MD, MPH,<sup>a</sup> Karola Jering, MD,<sup>a</sup> Narayana Prasad, MD, MPH, MS,<sup>a</sup> Farideh Roshanali, MD,<sup>a</sup> Ahmad Masri, MD,<sup>b</sup> Michael E. Nassif, MD,<sup>c</sup> Roberto Barriales-Villa, MD, PhD,<sup>d</sup> Theodore P. Abraham, MD,<sup>e</sup> Nuno Cardim, MD, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Caroline J. Coats, MD, PhD,<sup>g</sup> Christopher M. Kramer, MD,<sup>h</sup> Martin S. Maron, MD,<sup>i</sup> Michelle Michels, MD, PhD,<sup>j</sup> Iacopo Olivotto, MD,<sup>k</sup> Sara Saberi, MD,<sup>1</sup> Daniel L. Jacoby, MD,<sup>m</sup> Stephen B. Heitner, MD,<sup>m</sup> Stuart Kupfer, MD,<sup>m</sup> Lisa Meng, PhD,<sup>m</sup> Amy Wohltman, ME,<sup>m</sup> Fady I. Malik, MD, PhD,<sup>m</sup> Scott D. Solomon, MD,<sup>a</sup> the SEQUOIA-HCM Investigators

### ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND** Aficamten, a next-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor, improved peak oxygen uptake (pVO<sub>2</sub>) and lowered resting and Valsalva left ventricular outflow (LVOT) gradients in adults with symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (oHCM) in SEQUOIA-HCM (Phase 3 Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Aficamten Compared to Placebo in Adults With Symptomatic oHCM), a phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study.

**OBJECTIVES** The authors sought to evaluate the effect of aficamten on echocardiographic measures of cardiac structure and function in SEQUOIA-HCM.

**METHODS** Serial echocardiograms were performed over 28 weeks in patients randomized to receive placebo or aficamten in up to 4 individually titrated escalating doses (5-20 mg daily) over 24 weeks based on Valsalva LVOT gradients and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).

**RESULTS** Among 282 patients (mean age 59  $\pm$  13 years; 41% female, 79% White, 19% Asian), mean LVEF was 75%  $\pm$  6% with resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients of 55  $\pm$  30 mm Hg and 83  $\pm$  32 mm Hg, respectively. Over 24 weeks, aficamten significantly lowered resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients, and improved left atrial volume index, lateral and septal e' velocities, and lateral and septal E/e' (all  $P \leq 0.001$ ). LV end-systolic volume increased and wall thickness decreased (all  $P \leq 0.003$ ). Aficamten resulted in a mild reversible decrease in LVEF (-4.8% [95% CI: -6.4 to -3.3]; P < 0.001) and absolute LV global circumferential strain (-3.7% [95% CI: 1.8-5.6]; P < 0.0010), whereas LV global longitudinal strain was unchanged. Several measures, including LVEF, LVOT gradients, and E/e' returned to baseline following washout. Among those treated with aficamten, improved pVO<sub>2</sub> and reduction in N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were associated with improvement in lateral e' velocity and septal and lateral E/e' (all P < 0.03), whereas improvement in Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Clinical Summary Scores (KCCQ-CSS) was associated with a decrease in both LVOT gradients (all P < 0.001).

**CONCLUSIONS** Compared with placebo, patients receiving aficamten demonstrated significant improvement in LVOT gradients and measures of LV diastolic function, and several of these measures were associated with improvements in pVO<sub>2</sub>, KCCQ-CSS, and NT-proBNP. A modest decrease in LVEF occurred yet remained within normal range. These findings suggest aficamten improved multiple structural and physiological parameters in oHCM without significant adverse changes in LV systolic function. (Phase 3 Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Aficamten Compared to Placebo in Adults With Symptomatic oHCM [SEQUOIA-HCM]; NCT05186818) (*JACC*. 2024; ■:■-■) © 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

JACC VOL. ■, NO. ■, 2024 ■, 2024: ■ - ■

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CPET = cardiopulmonary exercise testing

e' = early diastolic mitral annular velocity

E/e' = ratio between early mitral inflow velocity and early diastolic mitral annular velocity

GCS = global circumferential strain

GLS = global longitudinal strain

HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

KCCQ-CSS = Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Clinical Summary Scores

LA = left atrial

LV = left ventricular

**LVEF** = left ventricular ejection fraction

**LVOT** = left ventricular outflow tract

NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide

oHCM = obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

pVO<sub>2</sub> = peak oxygen consumption

RV = right ventricular

SAM = systolic anterior motion TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

ypertrophic cardiomvopathy (HCM), the most common inherited heart disease, is characterized by left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy not attributable to another cause and often associated with increased cardiac contractility and impaired LV diastolic function, leading to progressive symptoms, particularly with exercise.1 Approximately two-thirds of patients present with dynamic left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction at rest and/or with provocation, which when symptomatic, is the target of guideline-recommended therapies and associated with increased cardiac morbidity and mortality.<sup>1-4</sup> Echocardiography is essential for: establishing the diagnosis of HCM; routine assessment of disease progression, including degree of LVOT obstruction and severity of mitral regurgitation; risk assessment of sudden cardiac death and atrial fibrillation; and response to therapy.<sup>1,5,6</sup>

Recently updated guidelines for the management of obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (oHCM) now include cardiac myosin inhibitors as second-line therapy in patients with persistent symptoms despite beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers as a Class I recommendation and alternative to disopyramide or septal reduction therapy.<sup>1</sup> Mavacamten, the recently approved first-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor, improved exercise capacity, symptoms, and LVOT gradients in patients with oHCM.<sup>7,8</sup> In addition, mavacamten therapy significantly improved measures of LV diastolic function.9,10 Aficamten, the next-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor, has distinct pharmacologic properties allowing for echocardiography-based dose titration as early as 14 days after dose initiation as a result of a half-life of ~3 days.<sup>11-13</sup> In SEQUOIA-HCM

(Phase 3 Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Aficamten Compared to Placebo in Adults With Symptomatic oHCM; NCT05186818), the phase 3 trial in patients with oHCM treated with aficamten, demonstrated rapid and significant improvement in peak oxygen uptake (pVO<sub>2</sub>), symptoms by Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Clinical Summary Scores (KCCQ-CSS), resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP).

The objective of this prespecified analysis was to evaluate the effect of aficamten on echocardiographic measures of cardiac structure and function in SEQUOIA-HCM.

### METHODS

STUDY DESIGN. SEQUOIA-HCM was a phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial in patients with symptomatic oHCM. As previously described, eligible individuals aged 18 to 85 years with a confirmed clinical diagnosis of oHCM (LV wall thickness ≥15 mm with unexplained hypertrophy), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)  $\geq$ 60%, resting LVOT gradient ≥30 mm Hg, Valsalva LVOT gradient ≥50 mm Hg, predicted  $pVO_2 \leq 90\%$ , and on stable background medical therapy for >6 weeks, received aficamten or placebo in a 1:1 ratio.<sup>14,15</sup> Additional inclusion and exclusion criteria are available in Supplemental Table 1. The protocol was reviewed and approved by an institutional review board at all sites, and all patients provided written informed consent.

**STUDY PROCEDURES.** Patients were randomized to either placebo or aficamten with up to 4 escalating doses of aficamten (5-20 mg) within the first 6 weeks of the trial to achieve Valsalva LVOT <30 mm Hg while maintaining an LVEF  $\geq$ 50%. Doses were then maintained until week 24, followed by a 4-week washout

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

Manuscript received July 23, 2024; revised manuscript received August 7, 2024, accepted August 8, 2024.

2

From the <sup>a</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>b</sup>Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA; <sup>c</sup>University of Missouri Kansas City Healthcare Institute for Innovations in Quality and Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute, Kansas City, Missouri, USA; <sup>d</sup>Complexo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, INIBIC, CIBERCV-ISCIII, A Coruña, Spain; <sup>c</sup>University of California-San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; <sup>f</sup>Hospital CUF-Descobertas, Lisbon, Portugal; <sup>g</sup>School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom; <sup>h</sup>Cardiology Division, Department of Medicine, University of Virginia Health System Charlottesville, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA; <sup>i</sup>Lahey Hospital and Medical Center, Burlington, Massachusetts, USA; <sup>k</sup>Meyer Children's Hospital, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Florence, Italy; <sup>b</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; and <sup>m</sup>Cytokinetics, Incorporated, South San Francisco, California, USA.

JACC VOL. ■, NO. ■, 2024

3

period. Serial resting echocardiograms were performed at screening, day 1, and weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 (end of treatment), and 28 (end of study). Siteread LVEF and Valsalva LVOT gradients were assessed by masked echocardiographers onsite and entered into the web-response system to determine dose titration. Limited echocardiograms were performed for weeks 2, 4, 6, and 8 for titration purposes. Certified sonographers at each site performed all echocardiograms according to a prespecified protocol, and images were evaluated, blinded to treatment assignment, by the Cardiovascular Imaging Core Laboratory (Brigham and Women's Hospital) according to American Society of Echocardiography recommendations.<sup>16</sup> Lab-wide reproducibility data have been published previously,<sup>17</sup> and intraobserver variability for key echocardiographic measures for this study are included in Supplemental Table 2. Maximum LV wall thickness was derived from the greatest wall thickness measured in the parasternal long- and short-axis views. Chamber dimensions included LV end-diastolic dimension, LV end-systolic dimension, LV end-diastolic volume index, LV endsystolic volume index, left atrial (LA) volume index, and left atrial width. The modified Simpson's method was used to estimate LVEF. LV mass index was derived from the linear dimensions and indexed to height<sup>2.7</sup> per guidelines. Diastolic function parameters included early and late mitral inflow velocity (E-wave and A-wave velocity), septal and lateral early diastolic mitral annular velocity (septal e' and lateral e' velocity), and ratio between early mitral inflow velocity and septal and lateral mitral annular early diastolic velocity (septal E/e' and lateral E/e' ratio, respectively). As per updated LV diastolic function criteria, recommendations are for classification as either normal, indeterminate, or abnormal based on the presence or absence of abnormalities in: 1) average E/e' > 14; 2) septal e' <7 cm/s or lateral e' <10 cm/s; 3) tricuspid regurgitation velocity <280 cm/s; and 4) LA volume index >34 mL/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>18</sup> Due to a high degree of missingness (>50%), tricuspid regurgitation velocity was not used in the assessment of abnormal LV diastolic function measures.<sup>19</sup> LVOT gradients were measured as the peak LVOT gradient at rest and following Valsalva maneuver. The imaging protocol instructed sonographers to sweep the angle of interrogation between the LVOT and LA to allow for better discrimination of LVOT gradients from mitral regurgitation Doppler profiles. The average of 3 peak resting LVOT gradients was measured in normal sinus rhythm and atrial fibrillation. The peak Valsalva gradient was measured as the peak value with attention to avoid the measurement of ectopic beats or particularly abnormal R-R intervals. LV global longitudinal strain (GLS) and circumferential strain (GCS) were assessed with speckle tracking using vendor-independent software (TOMTEC); endocardial layer strain values are reported as absolute values. Analysis was performed on images with a frame rate of 50 to 80 frames/s. LV GLS was measured in the apical 4-chamber and apical 2-chamber views, whereas LV GCS was performed in the parasternal short-axis view at the level of the midpapillary muscle. Images with >1 segment dropout or significant foreshortening of the LV were not analyzed. The optimal cycle in normal sinus rhythm and atrial fibrillation was selected by the analyst. Mitral valve systolic anterior motion (SAM) was identified as present or absent. At the end of the study, those with absent SAM were defined as having complete resolution of SAM. Measures of right ventricular (RV) systolic function included tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) and RV s' velocity.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES. Placebo-corrected treatment difference in echocardiographic parameters at 24 weeks and at 28 weeks (after 4 weeks of washout) compared with baseline was assessed using linear regression models adjusted for baseline echocardiographic parameter, treatment, and stratification by beta-blockers and exercise mode (bicycle vs treadmill). Linear regression models were used to assess the association between changes in pVO<sub>2</sub>, the primary endpoint for SEQUOIA-HCM, and other clinical endpoints (NT-proBNP, KCCQ-CSS, NYHA functional class, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I) and changes in echocardiographic measures, after adjusting for respective baseline values, beta-blocker use, and exercise mode with results presented as stratified by treatment. The model was fitted within each treatment group separately. Log<sub>2</sub> changes were evaluated for changes in NT-proBNP and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I. The association between changes in LV GCS and changes in LVEF was assessed using a restricted cubic spline model. The number of knots that minimized model Akaike's information criterion (AIC) was selected (2 to 5 knots assessed), and 2 knots (a linear model) demonstrated the lowest AIC. The model was additionally adjusted for the following baseline values: LV GCS, LVEF, resting LVOT gradient, Valsalva LVOT gradient, average E/e', and the randomization stratification factors (beta-blocker use, cardiopulmonary exercise testing [CPET] modality). Missing data were not imputed. Supplemental Table 3 demonstrates the number of echocardiographic observations by study week. All analyses

TABLE 1 Baseline and Change in Echocardiographic Parameters								
	Placebo (n = 140)		Aficamten (n = 142)		Treatment (Week 24)		Washout (Week 28)	
Echo Parameter	Baseline	Week 24	Baseline	Week 24	Placebo-Corrected Treatment Difference (95% Cl)	P Value <sup>a</sup>	Placebo-Corrected Treatment Difference (95% CI)	P Value <sup>a</sup>
LVOT gradients								
LVOT gradient, rest, mm Hg	$55\pm32$	$60\pm33$	$\textbf{55} \pm \textbf{27.0}$	$20\pm17$	-40 (-46 to -34)	< 0.001	-1 (-6 to 7)	0.83
LVOT gradient, Valsalva, mm Hg	$\textbf{83}\pm\textbf{33}$	$86\pm33$	$83\pm32$	$35\pm25$	-50 (-57 to -44)	< 0.001	-1 (-8 to 6)	0.85
LV structure								
Max wall thickness, mm	$21.0\pm3.0$	$\textbf{20.4} \pm \textbf{3.0}$	$\textbf{20.7} \pm \textbf{3.0}$	$\textbf{19.1} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	-1.2 (-1.8 to -0.6)	< 0.001	-	
Interventricular septal wall, mm	$19.4 \pm 3.3$	$\textbf{20.0} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{18.9} \pm \textbf{2.9}$	$18.7\pm3.5$	-1.0 (-1.6 to -0.3)	0.003	-0.3 (-1.0 to 0.4)	0.38
Inferolateral wall, mm	$\textbf{13.2}\pm\textbf{2.9}$	$13.5\pm2.9$	$12.5 \pm 2.6$	$12.3 \pm 2.3$	-0.8 (-1.3 to -0.3)	0.003	-0.2 (-0.8 to 0.3)	0.43
LV mass index, g/m <sup>2</sup>	$134.6\pm36.6$	$141.5\pm38.4$	$129.6\pm31.0$	$124.6\pm32.7$	-12.2 (-18.0 to -6.5)	< 0.001	-3.7 (-10.2 to 2.8)	0.27
LV end-diastolic dimension, mm	$\textbf{38.8} \pm \textbf{5.9}$	$\textbf{38.9} \pm \textbf{5.4}$	$\textbf{39.4} \pm \textbf{5.1}$	$\textbf{39.0} \pm \textbf{4.7}$	-0.3 (-1.1 to 0.5)	0.5	-0.7 (-1.6 to 0.2)	0.14
LV end-systolic dimension, mm	$21.7\pm4.1$	$21.0\pm4.2$	$21.9\pm3.8$	$\textbf{22.5} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	+1.6 (0.7 to 2.4)	0.001	-0.2 (-1.1 to 0.8)	0.72
LV end-diastolic volume index, mL/m <sup>2</sup>	$\textbf{36.0} \pm \textbf{9.2}$	$\textbf{36.4} \pm \textbf{8.4}$	$\textbf{35.9} \pm \textbf{7.8}$	$\textbf{36.2} \pm \textbf{8.2}$	-0.2 (-1.5 to 1.2)	0.81	-1.2 (-2.5 to 0.1)	0.07
LV end-systolic volume index, mL/m <sup>2</sup>	$\textbf{9.1}\pm\textbf{3.8}$	$10.0\pm3.6$	$\textbf{9.1}\pm\textbf{2.9}$	$11.7\pm4.2$	+1.7 (1.0 to 2.4)	< 0.001	-0.1 (-0.7 to 0.5)	0.74
LV systolic function								
LV ejection fraction, %	$75\pm 6$	$73\pm7$	$75\pm 6$	$68 \pm 7$	-5 (-6 to -3)	< 0.001	-1 (-2 to 1)	0.21
LV fractional shortening, %	$44\pm8$	$46\pm9$	$45\pm8$	$42\pm9$	−4 (−7 to −2)	< 0.001	-1 (-3 to 2)	0.62
LV global longitudinal strain, <sup>b</sup> %	$15.3\pm3.3$	$-15.9\pm3.4$	$\textbf{15.4} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	$-15.6\pm2.7$	-0.4 (-0.9 to 0.1)	0.13	-0.1 (-0.6 to 0.5)	0.74
LV global circumferential strain, <sup>b</sup> %	$\textbf{33.4} \pm \textbf{8.1}$	$-34.3\pm8.0$	$\textbf{33.0} \pm \textbf{7.1}$	$-30.5\pm8.4$	-3.7 (-5.6 to -1.8)	< 0.001	-0.8 (-2.5 to 0.9)	0.36
RV systolic function								
TAPSE, mm	$21.0\pm4.1$	$\textbf{20.1} \pm \textbf{5.0}$	$21.4\pm3.9$	$\textbf{17.9} \pm \textbf{4.0}$	-2.1 (-3.2 to -1.1)	< 0.001	+0.8 (-0.3 to 1.9)	0.16
RV s' velocity, cm/s	$13.2 \pm 2.4$	$13.5\pm2.6$	$12.7\pm2.5$	$11.7\pm2.5$	-1.4 (-2.0 to -0.9)	< 0.001	+0.3 (-0.2 to 0.9)	0.28
LV diastolic function								
LA volume index, mL/m <sup>2</sup>	$40.9\pm15.1$	$41.2\pm11.8$	$40.1\pm12.7$	$\textbf{37.6} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	-3.8 (-5.5 to -2.2)	< 0.001	-3.0 (-4.9 to -1.0)	0.003
LA width, mm	$41.8\pm6.0$	$\textbf{42.7} \pm \textbf{6.4}$	$41.8\pm5.9$	$\textbf{40.2} \pm \textbf{6.6}$	-2.7 (-3.8 to -1.7)	< 0.001	-0.8 (-1.8 to 0.3)	0.14
Peak E-wave velocity, cm/s	$\textbf{87.4} \pm \textbf{32.0}$	$\textbf{88.3} \pm \textbf{29.1}$	$\textbf{82.2} \pm \textbf{24.5}$	$\textbf{77.0} \pm \textbf{20.8}$	-7.5 (-11.7 to -3.2)	0.001	-1.6 (-5.8 to 2.7)	0.47
Peak A-wave velocity, cm/s	$\textbf{83.6} \pm \textbf{29.9}$	$\textbf{85.8} \pm \textbf{28.3}$	$\textbf{81.7} \pm \textbf{27.8}$	$81.7\pm25.5$	-2.4 (-6.3 to 1.5)	0.22	+3.2 (-0.5 to 6.9)	0.09
Lateral e' velocity, cm/s	$\textbf{6.1} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	$\textbf{6.1} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	$\textbf{7.3} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	+1.2 (0.7 to 1.6)	< 0.001	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.3)	0.45
Septal e' velocity, cm/s	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{4.8} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$5.2\pm1.5$	+0.5 (0.2 to 0.8)	0.001	-0.0 (-0.3 to 0.2)	0.96
Lateral E/e'	$\textbf{15.9} \pm \textbf{7.8}$	$\textbf{16.3} \pm \textbf{8.7}$	$15.4\pm7.3$	$11.7\pm5.1$	-3.9 (-5.0 to -2.8)	< 0.001	-0.2 (-1.6 to 1.2)	0.78
Septal E/e'	$20.5\pm9.3$	$20.1\pm8.5$	$19.5\pm8.4$	$15.9\pm5.5$	-3.6 (-4.8 to -2.5)	< 0.001	-0.6 (-1.7 to 0.6)	0.35

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD or mean (95% Cl). Placebo-corrected differences in echocardiographic parameters are adjusted for baseline echocardiographic parameter, treatment, and stratification by beta-blockers and exercise mode (bicycle vs treadmill). Maximum wall thickness was not measured at week 28. <sup>a</sup>P value for placebo-corrected treatment difference compared with baseline. <sup>b</sup>Absolute strain values are presented.

e' = early diastolic mitral annular velocity; E wave = early mitral inflow velocity; E/e' = ratio between early mitral inflow velocity and early diastolic mitral annular velocity; LA = left atrium; LV = left ventricle; LVOT = left ventricular outflow tract; RV = right ventricle; s' = peak systolic annular velocity; TAPSE = tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

were performed using STATA software version 16.1 (StataCorp). No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Of the 282 subjects enrolled in SEQUOIA-HCM, 142 received aficamten and 140 received placebo. Baseline characteristics have previously been described (Supplemental Table 4).<sup>14</sup> Mean age was  $59.1 \pm 12.9$  years with 41% female subjects. Background medical therapy included beta-blockers (61%), calcium channel blockers (29%), disopyramide (13%), and no medical therapy (15%). As per entry criteria, LVOT gradients at rest and with Valsalva were elevated ( $55 \pm 30 \text{ mm}$  Hg and  $83 \pm 32 \text{ mm}$  Hg, respectively).

Baseline echocardiography demonstrated findings consistent with oHCM with a mean maximum LV wall thickness of  $21 \pm 3$  mm with greater interventricular septal wall thickness compared to the inferolateral wall (Table 1). Abnormal mean measures of diastolic function included reduced e' velocities, elevated E/e', and mildly dilated LA. LV dimensions were within normal range with generally hyperdynamic LVEF (75%  $\pm$  6%). At baseline, the majority of patients (91% [228/250], missing data n = 32 patients) demonstrated mitral valve SAM. LV GLS was mildly

reduced, whereas LV GCS was normal to increased. Measures of RV systolic function (TAPSE, RV s' velocity) were within normal range (Table 1).

AFICAMTEN TREATMENT EFFECT. In addition to previously reported significant improvements in resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients (-40 mm Hg and -50 mm Hg, respectively), aficamten treatment for 24 weeks resulted in a decrease in LA volume index  $(-3.8 \text{ mL/m}^2)$  and improvements in lateral and septal e' velocities (+1.2 cm/s and +0.5 cm/s, respectively) and lateral and septal E/e' (-3.9 and -3.6, respectively) (all  $P \le 0.001$ ) (Table 1, Figure 1). The prevalence of abnormal measures of LV diastolic function decreased after 24 weeks of aficamten, particularly for lateral e' and average E/e' measures (Figure 2A). Many patients demonstrated improvement in the number of abnormal LV diastolic function measures after 24 weeks of aficamten (Figure 2B). LV end-systolic volume increased (+1.7 mL/m<sup>2</sup>) and all wall thickness measurements decreased (all  $P \leq 0.003$ ) (Table 1). Aficamten resulted in a mild reversible decrease in LVEF (-4.8% [95% CI: -6.4 to -3.3]; *P* < 0.001) and absolute LV GCS (-3.7% [1.8-5.6]; P < 0.001), with LVEF and LV GCS approaching normal range, whereas absolute LV GLS was not changed (Table 1, Figure 3). Changes in LV GCS were associated with changes in LVEF in a linear regression model additionally adjusted for baseline LV GCS, LVEF, resting LVOT gradient, Valsalva LVOT gradient, average E/e', and the randomization stratification factors (beta-blocker use, CPET modality) as seen in Figure 4. There was also a mild decrease in RV systolic function (TAPSE and RV s'); however, these measures remained within normal range. Several measures, including LVEF, LVOT gradients, and E/e' returned to baseline following washout. Aficamten resulted in complete resolution of mitral valve SAM in approximately one-half of patients (52% [61/117], missing data n = 17 patients) with 82% (50/61) of this group reverting to baseline mitral valve SAM after washout.

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHANGES IN pVO<sub>2</sub> AND OTHER ENDPOINTS WITH CHANGES IN ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC MEASURES.** Among those treated with aficamten, improvement in pVO<sub>2</sub> (per 1 mL/kg/min) over 24 weeks was associated with improvement in LA volume index (-0.46 mL/m<sup>2</sup>), peak A velocity (-1.17 cm/s), lateral e' velocity (+0.16 cm/s), lateral E/e' (-0.29), and septal E/e' (-0.26) (all P < 0.05) (Supplemental Table 5). A reduction in NT-proBNP (per  $-\log_2$  change, or 50% reduction) was significantly associated with reduction in resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients (-5.6 mm Hg and -7.4 mm Hg, respectively), reduction in maximum wall thickness and interventricular septal wall thickness (-0.50 mm and -0.06 mm, respectively), increase in lateral and septal e' velocities (+0.53 cm/s and +0.26 cm/s, respectively), decrease in lateral and septal E/e' (-1.1 and -1.0, respectively), and increase in absolute LV GLS (0.43%) (all P < 0.05) (Supplemental Table 5). Improvement in KCCQ-CSS (per 5-point increase) was significantly associated with reduction in resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients (-2.7)mm Hg and -3.8 mm Hg, respectively), reduction in inferolateral wall thickness (-0.19 mm), and increase in LV end-systolic dimension (+0.34 mm) (all P < 0.05) (Supplemental Table 5). A reduction in highsensitivity cardiac troponin I (per  $-\log_2$  change, or 50% reduction) was significantly associated with reduction in the Valsalva LVOT gradient (-6.6 mm Hg), reduction in maximum wall thickness and interventricular septal wall thickness (-1.2 mm and -1.0 mm, respectively), and increase in septal e' velocity (+0.39 cm/s) (all P < 0.05) (Supplemental Table 5). A 1-class improvement in NYHA functional class, only in aficamten-treated patients, was associated with reduction in the Valsalva LVOT gradient (-8.21 mm Hg) and reduction in inferolateral wall thickness (-0.63 mm) (all P < 0.05) (Supplemental Table 5). Changes in pVO<sub>2</sub>, NT-proBNP, KCCQ-CSS, and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I were not significantly associated with change in LVEF.

### DISCUSSION

In this prespecified analysis of patients with oHCM, treatment with aficamten for 24 weeks resulted in significant improvement relative to placebo in important measures of cardiac structure and function. In addition to previously reported significant improvements in LVOT gradients, aficamten improved measures of LV diastolic function, including LA size, e' velocities, and E/e'. LV systolic function by LVEF also changed from a generally hyperdynamic to a more normal range. Aficamtenassociated improvement in several outcome measures, including pVO<sub>2</sub>, NT-proBNP, KCCQ-CSS, and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, was associated with improvement in multiple measures of cardiac structure and function. Together, these findings demonstrate the effect of aficamten on cardiac structure and function, and provide some insight into the mechanism of action underlying the demonstrated clinical benefits (Central Illustration).

At baseline, echocardiographic measures were typical of patients with symptomatic, oHCM with mean LVEFs in the hyperdynamic range. Maximum wall thickness on average exceeded 2.0 cm, 5



(A) Left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) gradient at rest over time. (B) LVOT gradient with Valsalva over time. (C) Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) over time. (D) Left atrial (LA) volume index over time. (C) LVOT gradient at rest over time. (D) LVOT gradient with Valsalva over time. (E) Lateral early diastolic mitral annular velocity (e') over time. (F) Septal e' velocity over time. (G) Lateral ratio between early mitral inflow velocity and early diastolic mitral annular velocity (E/e') over time. (H) Lateral E/e' over time. Vertical dashed line indicates treatment period of 24 weeks followed by a 4-week washout period. *P* values reflect placebo-corrected treatment difference at 24 weeks compared with baseline adjusted for stratification by beta-blockers and cardiopulmonary exercise testing mode (bicycle vs treadmill). Panels A and B adapted with permission from Massachusetts Medical Society from Maron et al.<sup>14</sup>

6

### Hegde et al Aficamten Impact on Cardiac Structure and Function



consistent with severe LV hypertrophy. LVOT gradients at rest and with Valsalva were markedly elevated above entry criteria requirements with mean resting and Valsalva gradients >50 mm Hg, the threshold generally considered associated with symptoms and for considering advanced pharmacologic or invasive therapies.<sup>1</sup> LVOT obstruction is primarily due to the presence of mitral valve SAM, which was present in the majority of these patients at baseline. Furthermore, these patients demonstrated evidence of





The change in LV global circumferential strain (GCS) is associated with change in LVEF in a regression model additionally adjusted for baseline LV GCS, LVEF, resting LVOT gradient, Valsalva LVOT gradient, average E/e', and the randomization stratification factors (beta-blocker use, cardiopulmonary exercise testing modality). Abbreviations as in Figure 1. abnormal LV diastolic function with mildly dilated LA volumes, reduced tissue Doppler indices, and elevated E/e' values.

AFICAMTEN TREATMENT EFFECT. Treatment with aficamten resulted in significant improvements in multiple measures of cardiac structure and function. As previously described, both resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients significantly decreased.<sup>14</sup> Approximately one-half of those treated with aficamten demonstrated complete resolution of mitral valve SAM. Maximal wall thickness, interventricular septal wall thickness, inferolateral wall thickness, and LV mass index significantly decreased with aficamten treatment. Although LV mass index estimation by echocardiography has limitations in HCM,<sup>20,21</sup> the treatment effect appears to be consistent across all wall thickness measurements. Septal reduction therapy has also demonstrated regression of LV hypertrophy with reduction in wall thickness in segments other than the septum, suggesting that LV hypertrophy may be in part compensatory to the increased afterload associated with LVOT obstruction.<sup>22-25</sup> With aficamten, myosin inhibition may in parallel directly contribute to reduction in wall thickness in addition



### Hegde SM, et al. *JACC*. 2024;∎(■):∎-■.

Aficamten is a next-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor that results in reversible reduction of actin-myosin cross bridges with improvement in multiple measures of cardiac structure and function over 24 weeks. Improvement in peak oxygen uptake ( $pVO_2$ ), N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), high-sensitivity troponin I, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, and Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Clinical Summary Score (KCCQ-CSS) was associated with improvement in several measures of cardiac structure and function. e' = early diastolic mitral annular velocity; E/e' = ratio between early mitral inflow velocity and mitral annular early diastolic velocity; HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; LAVI = left atrial volume index; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume; LV GLS = left ventricular global longitudinal strain; LVOT-G = left ventricular outflow tract gradient.

9

10

to the secondary effects of decreased afterload. Longer-term changes will be important in understanding the extent of remodeling that occurs with aficamten.

Abnormal diastolic function in HCM has been attributed to elevated LV pressures, reduced LV compliance due to hypertrophy and/or fibrosis, microvascular ischemia, and abnormal energetics.<sup>1,26,27</sup> LA volume index significantly decreased by a mean of  $3.8 \text{ mL/m}^2$  with 24 weeks of aficamten. Septal reduction therapy, which targets reducing or eliminating LVOT obstruction, has also demonstrated significant reductions in LA volumes of ~8 to 10 mL/m<sup>2</sup> from baseline severely dilated LAs (48-64 mL/m<sup>2</sup>) as early as 6 months postprocedure.<sup>22-24,28</sup> The reduction in LA size is likely multifactorial with multiple simultaneous changes, including LVOT gradient reduction, reduced LV filling pressures, and decrease in mitral valve SAM and related mitral regurgitation. In addition, aficamten was associated with an increase in lateral and septal e' velocities and a corresponding decrease in lateral and septal E/e' values, consistent with improvement in annular motion and reductions in a surrogate of LV filling pressure, respectively. Septal myectomy has demonstrated similar improvement in E/e'.<sup>24,29</sup>

LV GLS has been established as a more sensitive marker of systolic function with prognostic value.<sup>30</sup> Patients with HCM demonstrate impaired LV GLS despite normal LVEF, and this abnormal LV GLS has been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes.<sup>31,32</sup> Abnormal LV GLS in HCM may reflect the underlying abnormal histopathology of myocyte disarray and interstitial fibrosis.<sup>10,33</sup> Reference ranges for strain vary by age and sex with normal values of absolute LV GLS approximately 20%  $\pm$  2%<sup>16</sup> with greater variability in reported normal ranges for absolute LV GCS 23.3% (95% CI: 22.1% to 24.6%).24,34 With aficamten, LV GLS was unchanged and remained mildly impaired. Contractility, as measured by LVEF and absolute LV GCS, decreased modestly with both approaching a more normal, less hyperdynamic range. A similar response in absolute LV GLS and LV GCS (GLS 16%  $\pm$  4% to 16%  $\pm$  4% postmyectomy; *P* > 0.05; GCS 31%  $\pm$  5% to 25%  $\pm$  5% postmyectomy; P <0.05) has been observed with septal myectomy in spite of focal decline at myectomy sites,<sup>24</sup> suggesting that alleviation of LVOT obstruction and unloading of the LV, either pharmacologically or invasively, is associated with a mild lowering or normalization of a hyperdynamic LV as measured by LVEF and LV GCS, whereas neither approach appears to impact LV GLS.<sup>35</sup> At baseline, aortic stenosis is associated with similarly impaired LV GLS and higher GCS, which is thought to be a compensatory mechanism to preserve LV function in the setting of high LV afterload.<sup>24</sup> Unlike with HCM, unloading the LV in aortic stenosis with valve replacement has been associated with improved LV GLS although this may in part reflect opportunity for improvement in patients with less chronically elevated LV afterload and less fibrosis.36-38 Therefore, the absence of changes in LV GLS with unloading the LV may reflect irreversible abnormal histopathology after many years of obstructive physiology and chronic afterload and raises the question of whether earlier treatment before the development of significant fibrosis could normalize LV GLS. Alternatively, the absence of changes in LV GLS with aficamten treatment may represent a net neutral effect from some reduction in LV systolic function balancing improvement in diastolic function or it may represent a differential effect on myocardial fibers, where LV GLS tends to represent subendocardial function and LV GCS tends to represent mid-myocardial fibers.39,40 In contrast, a small study of 15 patients treated with mavacamten demonstrated a mild decline in absolute LV GLS from 14.2%  $\pm$  2.9% to 12.6%  $\pm$  3.1% after 30 days of treatment,<sup>41</sup> suggesting that there may be some impact of myosin inhibitors on LV GLS. Whether LV GLS is modifiable remains unknown. Further investigation is needed into the long-term effect, regional and layer-specific changes in myocardial mechanics, and timing of initiation of aficamten.

The observed mild decline in LVEF and absolute LV GCS is important to place in a clinical context. Over 24 weeks of aficamten therapy, patients demonstrate a mean reduction in LVEF of 5% (LVEF = 67.9%  $\pm$ 7.4% at 24 weeks) and in absolute LV GCS of 4% (LV  $GCS = -30.5\% \pm 8.4\%$  at 24 weeks); LVEF and LV GCS remain in normal range despite a mild decline from baseline hyperdynamic values. This effect is seen as an intended drug effect to improve and normalize the adverse effects of hyperdynamic LV systolic function and LVOT obstruction in this population. In the context of other potentially cardiotoxic drugs such as chemotherapy, strain measures (LV GLS) also demonstrate a mild decline; however, these are absolute decreases to below normal ranges and are typically associated with other adverse markers of cardiotoxicity, including elevation in NT-proBNP levels.42,43 In the case of aficamten, the modest decline in LVEF and LV GCS is associated with significant decline in NT-proBNP levels and improved E/e' values, suggesting a more favorable and intended

11

drug response. Longer-term studies will demonstrate whether the effects of aficamten are sustained.

After 4 weeks of washout following aficamten treatment, nearly all measures of cardiac structure and function returned to baseline values (Table 1). Resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients were no longer significantly different from baseline. LVEF, wall thickness measurements, tissue Doppler indices, and E/e' also returned to baseline. The only exception was the LA volume index, which remained significantly smaller compared with placebo at 28 weeks due to a rise in LA volume index in both groups, but LA volume index did return to baseline values with washout (Figure 1). This may in part be due to the limitations of measurement of LA volumes by echocardiography, which is also reflected by the larger SDs for this measurement. The reversal of the noted drug effects within 4 weeks to baseline values, including LVEF, is of particular importance to safety. Of the 142 patients treated with aficamten, 5 (3.5%) experienced a transient reduction in LVEF <50% without developing clinical heart failure. By comparison, surgical myectomy has been associated with a modest reduction in LVEF of ~3% in observational studies.<sup>24,28</sup> Because changes in LV GCS parallel changes in LVEF, both measures may be assessed to monitor for possible further decline in LV systolic function, which can be further assessed with longer-term data.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHANGES IN pVO2 AND OTHER ENDPOINTS WITH CHANGES IN ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC MEASURES. Aficamten significantly improved the primary outcome of pVO<sub>2</sub> compared with placebo in patients with oHCM. This improvement in functional capacity was associated with improvement in LA volume index, decrease in peak A-wave velocity, increase in lateral e' velocity, and reduction in lateral and septal E/e'. Abnormal diastolic function has been associated with impaired exercise capacity in HCM.<sup>1,44</sup> In this analysis, reduction in LA size is uniquely associated with improvement in functional status compared with the other outcomes. Other studies support the LA as a marker of HCM pathophysiology, including LA myopathy, as measured by strain, which has been associated with impaired exercise capacity in patients with both obstructive and nonobstructive HCM.<sup>45-48</sup> Together, these findings support the close relationship between the left atrium and LV diastolic function with functional capacity.

Aficamten also resulted in improvement in the exploratory endpoints, NT-proBNP and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, and secondary endpoint KCCQ-CSS. Aficamten decreased both

cardiac biomarkers, NT-proBNP<sup>14</sup> and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, measured in SEQUOIA-HCM. Reductions in NT-proBNP were associated with significant reduction in resting and Valsalva LVOT gradients and interventricular septal wall thickness, as well as improvement in lateral and septal e' velocities, lateral and septal E/e', and LV GLS. Reduction in highsensitivity cardiac troponin I was associated with significant reduction in Valsalva LVOT gradients and interventricular septal wall thickness, and increase in septal e' velocity. Improvement in both biomarkers was associated with improvement in LVOT gradients, septal wall thickness, and indices of diastolic function, likely representing global cardiac structure and function improvement in response to therapy. With aficamten treatment, improvement in symptoms by NYHA functional class and KCCQ-CSS was associated with improvement in Valsalva LVOT gradients and reduction in inferolateral wall thickness, but not measures of LV diastolic function, suggesting that changes in LVOT gradients contribute more to symptom relief.

COMPARATIVE THERAPIES. Until recently, pharmacologic therapy for oHCM has primarily targeted relief of LVOT obstruction to improve symptoms. Traditional therapies (beta-blockers, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers, and disopyramide) lower LVOT gradients but have not been reported to improve LV diastolic function or cardiac structure in randomized controlled trials.49-51 In the recent randomized placebo-controlled trial of metoprolol vs placebo, metoprolol decreased LVOT obstruction and improved LV GLS without effect on E/e', invasively measured filling pressures, or symptoms.<sup>52,53</sup> Cardiac myosin inhibitors present a new targeted approach; decreasing the number of actin-myosin cross-bridges at the level of the cardiac sarcomere results in normalization of contractility and relief of LVOT obstruction, enabling improvement in functional capacity and symptoms. This has now been demonstrated with both mavacamten and aficamten.<sup>7,14</sup> Aficamten demonstrated similar improvements in LVOT gradients, wall thickness measurements, LA volumes, tissue Doppler indices, and E/e' over 24 weeks as those demonstrated with mavacamten.9 Although septal reduction therapy has been associated with similar improvements in cardiac structure and function, including LV diastolic function, cardiac myosin inhibitors provide an alternative therapy to those who may prefer to avoid invasive therapies with procedural risk as reflected in the recently updated HCM guidelines.1,23-25,29

12

**STUDY LIMITATIONS.** Ultrasound-enhancing agents were not approved for use in this study, which may have influenced measurements of LV volumes. Mitral valve SAM and mitral regurgitation were assessed qualitatively as present or absent, so further characterization is limited. The highly eccentric nature of mitral regurgitation in this population limits quantitative assessment by echocardiography. This study was of short duration with only 24 weeks of treatment. Further analysis of the ongoing FOREST-HCM (Open-label Extension Study to Evaluate the Longterm Safety and Tolerability of Aficamten in Adults With HCM; NCT04848506) long-term extension study will help demonstrate the nature of more long-term changes in cardiac structure and function.

### CONCLUSIONS

Compared with placebo, patients receiving aficamten demonstrated significant improvement in LVOT gradients, wall thickness, and measures of LV diastolic function indices, and several of these measures were associated with improvements in  $pVO_2$ , KCCQ-CSS, and NT-proBNP. A modest reduction in LVEF occurred from generally hypercontractile function at baseline, resulting in more normal range LV systolic function. Furthermore, reversal of the noted aficamten-related changes in cardiac structure and function occurred within 4 weeks of cessation of therapy. These findings suggest aficamten improved multiple structural and physiological parameters in oHCM without significant adverse changes in LV systolic function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors thank the patients, investigators, and study staff who participated in the SEQUOIA-HCM study. The authors also thank the Brigham and Women's Hospital Cardiovascular Imaging Core Laboratory team. Qualified researchers may submit a request containing the research objectives, endpoints/outcomes of interest, a statistical analysis plan, data requirements, a publication plan, and qualifications of the researcher(s). Requests are reviewed by a committee of internal and external advisors. If approved, information necessary to address the research question will be provided under the terms of a data-sharing agreement. Data-sharing requests will be considered after applications for marketing authorization in the United States and Europe have been reviewed and final decisions rendered. There is no end date for eligibility to submit a data-sharing request for this study. Requests may be submitted to medicalaffairs@cytokinetics.com.

### FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The SEQUOIA-HCM trial is funded by Cytokinetics, Incorporated. Representatives of Cytokinetics have been involved in the design and conduct of the study reported in this paper. Study design and data analysis was supported by the funder and aided by coauthors. Manuscript drafting was completed independently but reviewed by the funder. Dr Wang is supported by a ACC/Merck Research Fellowship. Dr Hegde's and Dr Wang's institutions have received fees for core lab services from Cytokinetics and Bristol Myers Squibb. Dr Masri has received research grants from Pfizer, Ionis, Attralus, and Cytokinetics; and has received fees from Cytokinetics, BMS, Eidos/Bridge-Bio, Pfizer, Ionis, Lexicon, Attralus, Alnylam, Haya, Alexion, Akros, Prothena, BioMarin, AstraZeneca, and Tenaya. Dr Nassif has received research and grant support from AstraZeneca and Cytokinetics; and has received consulting/advisory fees from Vifor and Cytokinetics. Dr Barriales-Villa has received consultant/advisor fees from MyoKardia/ Bristol Myers Squibb. Dr Cardim has received speaker fees from Cytokinetics and Bristol Myers Squibb. Dr Coats has received speaker fees from Alnylam and Pfizer; and has received advisory fees from Cytokinetics and Roche Diagnostics. Dr Kramer has received research grants from Cytokinetics, BMS, and Eli Lilly; and is a consultant for Eli Lilly. Dr Maron has received consultant/advisor fees from Imbria and Takeda; and has received steering committee fees for SEQUOIA-HCM from Cytokinetics, Incorporated. Dr Michels' institution has received a research grant from Bristol Myers Squibb; has received consultant/ advisor fees from Cytokinetics and Bristol Myers Squibb/Myokardia and Alnylam; and has received speaker fees from Bristol Myers Squibb and Pfizer. Dr Olivotto has received research grants from Bristol Myers Squibb, Cytotinetics, Sanofi, Benzyme, Amicus, Bayer, Menarini International, Chiesi, and Boston Scientific; has received consulting fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, Amicus, Sanofi, and Genzyme; and has served as an Advisory Board member for Cytokinetics, Bristol Myers Squibb, Chiesi, and Rocket Pharma. Dr Saberi has received consultant/advisor fees from Bristol Myers Squibb; and has received research grants from Cytokinetics, Bristol Myers Squibb, Cytokinetics, Novartis, and Actelion Pharmaceuticals. Drs Jacoby, Heitner, Kupfer, Meng, and Malik, and Ms Wohltman are employees of and hold stock in Cytokinetics, Incorporated. Dr Solomon has received research grants from Alexion, Alnylam, Applied Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, Bellerophon, Bayer, BMS, Boston Scientific, Cytokinetics, Edgewise, Eidos/Bridgebio, Gossamer, GSK, Ionis, Lilly, NIH/ NHLBI, Novartis, NovoNordisk, Respicardia, Sanofi Pasteur, Tenaya, Theracos, and US2.AI; and has consulted for Abbott, Action, Akros, Alexion, Alnylam, Amgen, Arena, AstraZeneca, Bayer, BMS, Cardior, Cardurion, Corvia, Cytokinetics, GSK, Lilly, Novartis, Roche, Theracos, Quantum Genomics, Tenaya, Sanofi-Pasteur, Dinaqor, Tremeau, CellProThera, Moderna, American Regent, Sarepta, Lexicon, Anacardio, Akros, and Valo. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Sheila M. Hegde, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. E-mail: shegde@bwh. harvard.edu.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ommen SR, Ho CY, Asif IM, et al. 2024 AHA/ ACC/AMSSM/HRS/PACES/SCMR guideline for the management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2024;83(23):2324–2405. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jacc.2024.02.014

**2.** Geske JB, Ommen SR, Gersh BJ. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: clinical update. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2018;6:364–375.

**3.** Maron MS, Olivotto I, Betocchi S, et al. Effect of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction on clinical outcome in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348:295-303.

**4.** Ommen SR, Maron BJ, Olivotto I, et al. Longterm effects of surgical septal myectomy on survival in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;46:470-476.

**5.** Nagueh SF, Phelan D, Abraham T, et al. Recommendations for multimodality cardiovascular imaging of patients with hypertrophic cardiomy-opathy: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography, in Collaboration with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2022;35:533–569.

**6.** Cardim N, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T, et al. Role of multimodality cardiac imaging in the management of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: an expert consensus of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging Endorsed by the Saudi Heart Association. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16:280.

7. Olivotto I, Oreziak A, Barriales-Villa R, et al. Mavacamten for treatment of symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (EX-PLORER-HCM): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2020;396: 759-769.

**8.** Desai MY, Owens A, Wolski K, et al. Mavacamten in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy referred for septal reduction: week 56 results from the VALOR-HCM randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2023;8:968–977.

**9.** Hegde SM, Lester SJ, Solomon SD, et al. Effect of mavacamten on echocardiographic features in symptomatic patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;78: 2518-252

**10.** Heydari B, Satriano A, Jerosch-Herold M, et al. 3-Dimensional strain analysis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: insights from the NHLBI International HCM Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2023;16:478-491.

**11.** Chuang C, Collibee S, Ashcraft L, et al. Discovery of aficamten (CK-274), a next-generation cardiac myosin inhibitor for the treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Med Chem.* 2021;64: 14142-14152.

**12.** Malik FI, Robertson LA, Armas DR, et al. A phase 1 dose-escalation study of the cardiac

myosin inhibitor aficamten in healthy participants. JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2022;7:763–775.

**13.** Maron MS, Masri A, Choudhury L, et al. Phase 2 study of aficamten in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;81:34–45.

**14.** Maron MS, Masri A, Nassif ME, et al. Aficamten for symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2024;390(20):1849-1861. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2401424

**15.** Coats CJ, Maron MS, Abraham TP, et al. Exercise capacity in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: SEQUOIA-HCM baseline characteristics and study design. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2024;12:199–215.

**16.** Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2015;28:1–39:e14.

**17.** Shah AM, Cheng S, Skali H, et al. Rationale and design of a multicenter echocardiographic study to assess the relationship between cardiac structure and function and heart failure risk in a biracial cohort of community-dwelling elderly persons: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2014;7:173–181.

**18.** Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2016;29: 277-314.

**19.** Shah AM, Claggett B, Kitzman D, et al. Contemporary assessment of left ventricular diastolic function in older adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Circulation*. 2017;135: 426-439.

**20.** Corona-Villalobos CP, Sorensen LL, Pozios I, et al. Left ventricular wall thickness in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a comparison between cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;32:945-954.

**21.** Hindieh W, Weissler-Snir A, Hammer H, Adler A, Rakowski H, Chan RH. Discrepant measurements of maximal left ventricular wall thickness between cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2017;10(8):e006309. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCIMAGING.117.006309

**22.** Mazur W, Nagueh SF, Lakkis NM, et al. Regression of left ventricular hypertrophy after nonsurgical septal reduction therapy for hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1492-1496.

**23.** Finocchiaro G, Haddad F, Kobayashi Y, et al. Impact of septal reduction on left atrial size and diastole in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Echocardiography*. 2016;33:686-694. **24.** Moravsky G, Bruchal-Garbicz B, Jamorski M, et al. Myocardial mechanical remodeling after septal myectomy for severe obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2013;26:893-900.

**25.** Dabrowski M, Kukula K, Klopotowski M, et al. Reduction of left ventricular mass, left atrial size, and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide level following alcohol septal ablation in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *Kardiol Pol.* 2019;77:181-189.

**26.** Ho CY, Carlsen C, Thune JJ, et al. Echocardiographic strain imaging to assess early and late consequences of sarcomere mutations in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2009;2:314–321.

**27.** Villemain O, Correia M, Mousseaux E, et al. Myocardial stiffness evaluation using noninvasive shear wave imaging in healthy and hypertrophic cardiomyopathic adults. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12:1135–1145.

28. Weissler-Snir A, Hindieh W, Moravsky G, et al. Left atrial remodeling postseptal myectomy for severe obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Analysis by two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2019;36: 276–284.

**29.** Nagueh SF, Lakkis NM, Middleton KJ, et al. Changes in left ventricular diastolic function 6 months after nonsurgical septal reduction therapy for hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 1999;99:344–347.

**30.** Collier P, Phelan D, Klein A. A test in context: myocardial strain measured by speckle-tracking echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69: 1043-1056.

**31.** Afonso L, Kondur A, Simegn M, et al. Twodimensional strain profiles in patients with physiological and pathological hypertrophy and preserved left ventricular systolic function: a comparative analyses. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(4): e001390. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001390

**32.** Tower-Rader A, Mohananey D, To A, Lever HM, Popovic ZB, Desai MY. Prognostic value of global longitudinal strain in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review of existing literature. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12: 1930–1942.

**33.** Kobayashi T, Popovic Z, Bhonsale A, et al. Association between septal strain rate and histopathology in symptomatic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients undergoing septal myectomy. *Am Heart J.* 2013;166, 503-151.

**34.** Yingchoncharoen T, Agarwal S, Popovic ZB, Marwick TH. Normal ranges of left ventricular strain: a meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2013;26:185-191.

**35.** Cui H, Schaff HV, Nishimura RA, et al. Preoperative left ventricular longitudinal strain predicts outcome of septal myectomy for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2023;166:492-500.e2.

14

**36.** Calin A, Mateescu AD, Popescu AC, Bing R, Dweck MR, Popescu BA. Role of advanced left ventricular imaging in adults with aortic stenosis. *Heart.* 2020;106:962–969.

**37.** Carasso S, Cohen O, Mutlak D, et al. Relation of myocardial mechanics in severe aortic stenosis to left ventricular ejection fraction and response to aortic valve replacement. *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;107: 1052–1057.

**38.** Dahl JS, Magne J, Pellikka PA, Donal E, Marwick TH. Assessment of subclinical left ventricular dysfunction in aortic stenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12:163–171.

**39.** Streeter DD Jr, Spotnitz HM, Patel DP, Ross J Jr, Sonnenblick EH. Fiber orientation in the canine left ventricle during diastole and systole. *Circ Res.* 1969;24:339-347.

**40.** Sengupta PP, Korinek J, Belohlavek M, et al. Left ventricular structure and function: basic science for cardiac imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1988–2001.

**41.** Wessly P, Lazzara GE, Buergler JM, Nagueh SF. Early observations on effects of mavacamten on left atrial function in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*, 2023;16:1633-1634.

**42.** Sulaiman L, Hesham D, Abdel Hamid M, Youssef G. The combined role of NT-proBNP and LV-GLS in the detection of early subtle chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer female patients. *Egypt Heart J.* 2021;73:20.

**43.** Dong Y, Wu Q, Hu C. Early predictive value of NT-proBNP combined with echocardiography in anthracyclines induced cardiotoxicity. *Front Surg.* 2022;9:898172.

**44.** Erez Y, Ghantous E, Shetrit A, et al. Exercise limitation in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: combined stress echocardiography and cardiopulmonary exercise test. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2024;11(4): 2287-2294. https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.14776

**45.** Saijo Y, Van Iterson E, Vega Brizneda M, et al. Impact of left atrial strain mechanics on exercise intolerance and need for septal reduction therapy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2022;23:238–245.

**46.** Zegkos T, Kamperidis V, Ntelios D, et al. Left atrial myopathy is associated with exercise incapacity and ventilatory inefficiency in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2023;32: 215-223.

**47.** Sachdev V, Shizukuda Y, Brenneman CL, et al. Left atrial volumetric remodeling is predictive of functional capacity in nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am Heart J.* 2005;149:730-736.

**48.** Yang WI, Shim CY, Kim YJ, et al. Left atrial volume index: a predictor of adverse outcome in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2009;22:1338-1343.

**49.** Sherrid MV, Shetty A, Winson G, et al. Treatment of obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy symptoms and gradient resistant to first-line therapy with beta-blockade or verapamil. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2013;6:694–702.

**50.** Sherrid MV, Barac I, McKenna WJ, et al. Multicenter study of the efficacy and safety of disopyramide in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1251-1258.

**51.** Zhu M, Reyes KRL, Bilgili G, et al. Medical therapies to improve left ventricular outflow obstruction and diastolic function in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Adv.* 2023;2:100622.

**52.** Dybro AM, Rasmussen TB, Nielsen RR, Andersen MJ, Jensen MK, Poulsen SH. Randomized trial of metoprolol in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;78:2505-2517.

**53.** Dybro AM, Rasmussen TB, Nielsen RR, et al. Metoprolol improves left ventricular longitudinal strain at rest and during exercise in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2023;36:196-204.

**KEY WORDS** aficamten, diastolic function, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, LVOT gradient

**APPENDIX** For supplemental tables, please see the online version of this paper.